

On the mixed f -divergence for multiple pairs of measures

Elisabeth M. Werner, and Deping Ye

Abstract

In this paper, the concept of the classical f -divergence (for a pair of measures) is extended to the mixed f -divergence (for multiple pairs of measures). The mixed f -divergence provides a way to measure the difference between multiple pairs of (probability) measures. Properties for the mixed f -divergence are established, such as permutation invariance and symmetry in distributions. An Alexandrov-Fenchel type inequality and an isoperimetric type inequality for the mixed f -divergence will be proved and applications in the theory of convex bodies are given.

Index Terms

Alexandrov-Fenchel inequality, f -dissimilarity, f -divergence, isoperimetric inequality.

I. INTRODUCTION

In applications such as pattern matching, image analysis, statistical learning, and information theory, one often needs to compare two (probability) measures and to know whether they are similar to each other. Hence, finding the “right” quantity to measure the difference between two (probability) measures P and Q is central. Traditionally, people use classical L_p distances between P and Q , such as the variational distance and/or the L_2 distance. However, the family of f -divergences is often more suitable to fulfil the goal than the classical L_p distance of measures.

The f -divergence $D_f(P, Q)$ of two probability measures P and Q was first introduced in [9], and independently in [2], [28] as

$$D_f(P, Q) = \int_X f\left(\frac{p}{q}\right) q \, d\mu. \quad (\text{I.1})$$

Here, p and q are density functions of P and Q with respect to a measure μ on X . The idea behind the f -divergence is to replace, for instance, the function $f(t) = |t - 1|$ in the variational distance by a general convex function f . Hence the f -divergence includes various widely used divergences as special cases, such as, the variational distance, the Kullback-Leibler divergence [16], the Bhattacharyya distance [5] and many more. Consequently, the f -divergence

E.M. Werner is with the Department of Mathematics, Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, OH, USA, 44106, and Université de Lille 1, UFR de Mathématique, 59655 Villeneuve d’Ascq, France. email: elisabeth.werner@case.edu

D. Ye is with the Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Memorial University of Newfoundland, St. John’s, Newfoundland, Canada A1C 5S7. email: deping.ye@mun.ca

receives considerable attention (e.g., [3], [8], [14], [18], [29]). We also refer to, for instance [4], for more references related to the f -divergence.

Extension of the f -divergence from two (probability) measures to multiple (probability) measures is fundamental in many applications, such as statistical hypothesis test and classification, and much research has been devoted to that, for instance in [26], [27], [40]. Such extensions include, e.g., the Matusita's affinity [24], [25], the Toussaint's affinity [35], the information radius [34] and the average divergence [33].

The $\mathbf{f}$ -dissimilarity $D_{\mathbf{f}}(P_1, \dots, P_l)$ for (probability) measures $P_1, \dots, P_l$, introduced in [11], [12] for a convex function $\mathbf{f} : \mathbb{R}^l \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, is a natural generalization of the f -divergence. It is defined as

$$D_{\mathbf{f}}(P_1, \dots, P_l) = \int_X \mathbf{f}(p_1, \dots, p_l) d\mu,$$

where the p_i 's are density functions of the P_i 's that are absolutely continuous with respect to μ . For a convex function f , the function $\mathbf{f}(x, y) = yf(\frac{x}{y})$ is also convex on $x, y > 0$, and $D_{\mathbf{f}}(P, Q)$ is equal to the classical f -divergence defined in formula (I.1). Note that the Matusita's affinity is related to

$$\mathbf{f}(x_1, \dots, x_l) = - \prod_{i=1}^l x_i^{1/l},$$

and the Toussaint's affinity is related to

$$\mathbf{f}(x_1, \dots, x_l) = - \prod_{i=1}^l x_i^{a_i}, \quad a_i \geq 0 \quad \text{with} \quad \sum_{i=1}^l a_i = 1.$$

Inspired by the growing fascinating connections between convex geometry and information theory (e.g., [15], [21]–[23], [30], [36], [37]), we introduce special $\mathbf{f}$ -dissimilarities, namely the mixed f -divergence and the i -th mixed f -divergence. These will be done in Section 2 and Section 5 of this paper. Also in Section 2, we establish some basic properties of the mixed f -divergence. In Section 3, we focus on the $\mathbf{f}$ -dissimilarity and the mixed f -divergence for multiple convex bodies. In particular, we show that the general mixed affine surface area – a fundamental concept in convex geometry – is a special case of the mixed f -divergence. An Alexandrov-Fenchel type inequality and an isoperimetric type inequality for the mixed f -divergence are obtained in Section 4. Section 5 is dedicated to the i -mixed f -divergence and its related isoperimetric type inequalities.

II. THE MIXED f -DIVERGENCE.

Throughout this paper, let (X, μ) be a finite measure space. For $1 \leq i \leq n$, let $P_i = p_i \mu$ and $Q_i = q_i \mu$ be probability measures on X that are absolutely continuous with respect to the measure μ . Moreover, we assume that for all $i = 1, \dots, n$, p_i and q_i are nonzero almost everywhere w.r.t. the measure μ . We use $\vec{\mathbf{P}}$ and $\vec{\mathbf{Q}}$ to denote the vectors of probability measures, or, in short, probability vectors,

$$\vec{\mathbf{P}} = (P_1, P_2, \dots, P_n), \quad \vec{\mathbf{Q}} = (Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_n).$$

We use $\vec{p}$ and $\vec{q}$ to denote the vectors of density functions, or density vectors, for $\vec{\mathbf{P}}$ and $\vec{\mathbf{Q}}$ respectively,

$$\frac{d\vec{\mathbf{P}}}{d\mu} = \vec{p} = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n), \quad \frac{d\vec{\mathbf{Q}}}{d\mu} = \vec{q} = (q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n).$$

We make the convention that $0 \cdot \infty = 0$.

Denote by $\mathbb{R}^+ = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x \geq 0\}$. Let $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be a non-negative convex or concave function. The $*$ -adjoint function $f^* : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ of f is defined by

$$f^*(t) = tf(1/t).$$

It is obvious that $(f^*)^* = f$ and that f^* is again convex, respectively concave, if f is convex, respectively concave.

Let $f_i : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, be either convex or concave functions. Denote by $\vec{f} = (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n)$ the vector of functions. We write

$$\vec{f}^* = (f_1^*, f_2^*, \dots, f_n^*)$$

to be the $*$ -adjoint vector for $\vec{f}$.

Now we introduce the mixed f -divergence for $(\vec{f}, \vec{P}, \vec{Q})$ as follows.

Definition II.1. Let (X, μ) be a finite measure space. Let $\vec{P}$ and $\vec{Q}$ be two probability vectors on X with density vectors $\vec{p}$ and $\vec{q}$ respectively. The mixed f -divergence $D_{\vec{f}}(\vec{P}, \vec{Q})$ for $(\vec{f}, \vec{P}, \vec{Q})$ is defined by

$$D_{\vec{f}}(\vec{P}, \vec{Q}) = \int_X \prod_{i=1}^n \left[f_i \left(\frac{p_i}{q_i} \right) q_i \right]^{\frac{1}{n}} d\mu. \quad (\text{II.2})$$

Similarly, we define the mixed f -divergence for $(\vec{f}, \vec{Q}, \vec{P})$ by

$$D_{\vec{f}}(\vec{Q}, \vec{P}) = \int_X \prod_{i=1}^n \left[f_i \left(\frac{q_i}{p_i} \right) p_i \right]^{\frac{1}{n}} d\mu. \quad (\text{II.3})$$

A special case is when all distributions P_i and Q_i are identical and equal to a probability distribution P . In this case,

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\vec{f}}(\vec{P}, \vec{Q}) &= D_{(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n)}((P, P, \dots, P), (P, P, \dots, P)) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n [f_i(1)]^{\frac{1}{n}}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark. The mixed f -divergence as defined in Definition II.1 is closely related to the $\mathbf{f}$ -dissimilarity. In fact, taking

$$\mathbf{f}(x_1, y_1; \dots; x_n, y_n) = - \prod_{i=1}^n \left\{ y_i f \left(\frac{x_i}{y_i} \right) \right\}^{\frac{1}{n}},$$

then the $\mathbf{f}$ -dissimilarity is equal to the negative of the mixed f -divergence, namely,

$$D_{\mathbf{f}}(P_1, Q_1; \dots; P_n, Q_n) = -D_{\vec{f}}(\vec{P}, \vec{Q}),$$

if $\mathbf{f}$ is convex. In general, the function $\mathbf{f}$ could be neither convex nor concave. However, if, for instance, all f_i are twice differentiable concave functions, then $\mathbf{f}$ is a convex function. Indeed, let $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, $x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{R}^+$ such

that $y_1 \neq 0$ and $y_2 \neq 0$. Put $x_\lambda = \lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda)x_2$ and $y_\lambda = \lambda y_1 + (1 - \lambda)y_2$. Then we have for all $1 \leq k \leq n$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{ y_\lambda f_i \left(\frac{x_\lambda}{y_\lambda} \right) \right\}^{\frac{k}{n}} &= \left\{ y_\lambda f_i \left(\frac{x_1}{y_1} \cdot \frac{\lambda y_1}{y_\lambda} + \frac{x_2}{y_2} \cdot \frac{(1 - \lambda)y_2}{y_\lambda} \right) \right\}^{\frac{k}{n}} \\ &\geq \left\{ \lambda y_1 f_i \left(\frac{x_1}{y_1} \right) + (1 - \lambda) y_2 f_i \left(\frac{x_2}{y_2} \right) \right\}^{\frac{k}{n}} \\ &\geq \lambda \left\{ y_1 f_i \left(\frac{x_1}{y_1} \right) \right\}^{\frac{k}{n}} + (1 - \lambda) \left\{ y_2 f_i \left(\frac{x_2}{y_2} \right) \right\}^{\frac{k}{n}} \end{aligned}$$

where the first inequality is from the concavity of f_i and the monotone increasing of $t^{k/n}$; while the second inequality is from the concavity of $t^{k/n}$. That is, the functions $[y f_i(x/y)]^{k/n}$ defined on $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^+$ are concave for all $1 \leq i, k \leq n$. Therefore, the Hessian of $\mathbf{f}$ can be written as a block matrix with all diagonal matrices being positive semi-definite and all off-diagonal blocks equal to 0. Consequently, the Hessian of $\mathbf{f}$ is positive semi-definite and hence $\mathbf{f}$ is convex.

Let $\pi \in S_n$ denote a permutation on $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and denote

$$\pi(\vec{p}) = (p_{\pi(1)}, p_{\pi(2)}, \dots, p_{\pi(n)}).$$

One immediate result from Definition II.1 is the following permutation invariance for $D_{\vec{\mathbf{f}}}(\vec{\mathbf{P}}, \vec{\mathbf{Q}})$.

Proposition II.1 (Permutation invariance). *Let the vectors $\vec{\mathbf{f}}, \vec{\mathbf{P}}, \vec{\mathbf{Q}}$ be as above, and let $\pi \in S(n)$ be a permutation on $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Then*

$$D_{\vec{\mathbf{f}}}(\vec{\mathbf{P}}, \vec{\mathbf{Q}}) = D_{\pi(\vec{\mathbf{f}})}(\pi(\vec{\mathbf{P}}), \pi(\vec{\mathbf{Q}})).$$

When all (f_i, P_i, Q_i) are equal to (f, P, Q) , the mixed f -divergence is equal to the classical f -divergence, denoted by $D_f(P, Q)$, which takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} D_f(P, Q) &= D_{(f, f, \dots, f)}((P, P, \dots, P), (Q, Q, \dots, Q)) \\ &= \int_X f \left(\frac{p}{q} \right) q d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

As $f^*(t) = t f(1/t)$, one easily obtains a fundamental property for the classical f -divergence $D_f(P, Q)$, namely,

$$D_f(P, Q) = D_{f^*}(Q, P),$$

for all (f, P, Q) . Similar results hold true for the mixed f -divergence. We show this now.

Let $0 \leq k \leq n$. We write $D_{\vec{\mathbf{f}}, k}(\vec{\mathbf{P}}, \vec{\mathbf{Q}})$ for

$$D_{\vec{\mathbf{f}}, k}(\vec{\mathbf{P}}, \vec{\mathbf{Q}}) = \int_X \prod_{i=1}^k \left[f_i \left(\frac{p_i}{q_i} \right) q_i \right]^{\frac{1}{n}} \times \prod_{i=k+1}^n \left[f_i^* \left(\frac{q_i}{p_i} \right) p_i \right]^{\frac{1}{n}} d\mu.$$

Clearly, $D_{\vec{\mathbf{f}}, n}(\vec{\mathbf{P}}, \vec{\mathbf{Q}}) = D_{\vec{\mathbf{f}}}(\vec{\mathbf{P}}, \vec{\mathbf{Q}})$ and $D_{\vec{\mathbf{f}}, 0}(\vec{\mathbf{P}}, \vec{\mathbf{Q}}) = D_{\vec{\mathbf{f}}^*}(\vec{\mathbf{Q}}, \vec{\mathbf{P}})$, where

$$\vec{\mathbf{f}}^* = (f_1^*, f_2^*, \dots, f_n^*).$$

Then we have the following result for changing order of distributions.

Proposition II.2 (Principle for changing order of distributions). Let $\vec{f}, \vec{P}, \vec{Q}$ be as above. Then, for any $0 \leq k \leq n$, one has

$$D_{\vec{f}}(\vec{P}, \vec{Q}) = D_{\vec{f},k}(\vec{P}, \vec{Q}).$$

In particular,

$$D_{\vec{f}}(\vec{P}, \vec{Q}) = D_{\vec{f}^*}(\vec{Q}, \vec{P}).$$

Proof: Let $0 \leq k \leq n$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\vec{f}}(\vec{P}, \vec{Q}) &= \int_X \prod_{i=1}^k \left[f_i \left(\frac{p_i}{q_i} \right) q_i \right]^{\frac{1}{n}} \times \prod_{i=k+1}^n \left[f_i \left(\frac{p_i}{q_i} \right) q_i \right]^{\frac{1}{n}} d\mu \\ &= \int_X \prod_{i=1}^k \left[f_i \left(\frac{p_i}{q_i} \right) q_i \right]^{\frac{1}{n}} \times \prod_{i=k+1}^n \left[f_i^* \left(\frac{q_i}{p_i} \right) p_i \right]^{\frac{1}{n}} d\mu \\ &= D_{\vec{f},k}(\vec{P}, \vec{Q}), \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality follows from $f_i \left(\frac{p_i}{q_i} \right) q_i = f_i^* \left(\frac{q_i}{p_i} \right) p_i$. ■

A direct consequence of Proposition II.2 is the following symmetry principle for the mixed f -divergence.

Proposition II.3 (Symmetry in distributions). Let $\vec{f}, \vec{P}, \vec{Q}$ be as above. Then, $D_{\vec{f}}(\vec{P}, \vec{Q}) + D_{\vec{f}^*}(\vec{P}, \vec{Q})$ is symmetric in $\vec{P}$ and $\vec{Q}$, namely,

$$D_{\vec{f}}(\vec{P}, \vec{Q}) + D_{\vec{f}^*}(\vec{P}, \vec{Q}) = D_{\vec{f}}(\vec{Q}, \vec{P}) + D_{\vec{f}^*}(\vec{Q}, \vec{P}).$$

Remark. Proposition II.2 says that $D_{\vec{f}}(\vec{P}, \vec{Q})$ remains the same if one replaces any triple (f_i, P_i, Q_i) by (f_i^*, Q_i, P_i) . It is also easy to see that, for all $0 \leq k, l \leq n$, one has

$$D_{\vec{f}}(\vec{P}, \vec{Q}) = D_{\vec{f},k}(\vec{P}, \vec{Q}) = D_{\vec{f}^*,l}(\vec{Q}, \vec{P}) = D_{\vec{f}^*}(\vec{Q}, \vec{P}).$$

Hence, for all $0 \leq k, l \leq n$,

$$D_{\vec{f},k}(\vec{P}, \vec{Q}) + D_{\vec{f}^*,l}(\vec{P}, \vec{Q}) = D_{\vec{f}}(\vec{P}, \vec{Q}) + D_{\vec{f}^*}(\vec{P}, \vec{Q})$$

is symmetric in $\vec{P}$ and $\vec{Q}$.

Hereafter, we only consider the mixed f -divergence $D_{\vec{f}}(\vec{P}, \vec{Q})$ defined in formula (II.2). Properties for the mixed f -divergence $D_{\vec{f}}(\vec{Q}, \vec{P})$ defined in (II.3) follow along the same lines.

Now we list some important mixed f -divergences.

Examples.

(i) The total variation is a widely used f -divergence to measure the difference between two probability measures P and Q on (X, μ) . It is related to function $f(t) = |t - 1|$. Similarly, we define the *mixed total variation* by

$$D_{\vec{f}}(\vec{P}, \vec{Q}) = \int_X \prod_{i=1}^n |p_i - q_i|^{\frac{1}{n}} d\mu.$$

It measures the difference between two probability vectors $\vec{P}$ and $\vec{Q}$.

(ii) For $a \in \mathbb{R}$, we denote by $a_+ = \max\{a, 0\}$. We define the *mixed relative entropy* or *mixed Kullback Leibler divergence* of $\vec{P}$ and $\vec{Q}$ by

$$D_{KL}(\vec{P}, \vec{Q}) = D_{(f_+, \dots, f_+)}(\vec{P}, \vec{Q}) = \int_X \prod_{i=1}^n \left[p_i \ln \left(\frac{q_i}{p_i} \right) \right]_+^{\frac{1}{n}} d\mu,$$

where $f(t) = t \ln t$. When $P_i = P = p\mu$ and $Q_i = Q = q\mu$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, we get the following (modified) *relative entropy* or *Kullback Leibler divergence*

$$D_{KL}(P||Q) = \int_X p \left[\ln \left(\frac{q}{p} \right) \right]_+ d\mu.$$

(iii) For the (convex and/or concave) functions $f_{\alpha_i}(t) = t^{\alpha_i}$, $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, we define the *mixed Hellinger integrals*

$$D_{(f_{\alpha_1}, f_{\alpha_2}, \dots, f_{\alpha_n})}(\vec{P}, \vec{Q}) = \int_X \prod_{i=1}^n \left[p_i^{\frac{\alpha_i}{n}} q_i^{\frac{1-\alpha_i}{n}} \right] d\mu.$$

In particular,

$$D_{(t^\alpha, t^\alpha, \dots, t^\alpha)}(\vec{P}, \vec{Q}) = \int_X \prod_{i=1}^n p_i^{\frac{\alpha}{n}} q_i^{\frac{1-\alpha}{n}} d\mu.$$

Those integrals are related to the Toussaint's affinity (see Introduction), and can be used to define the *mixed α -Rényi divergence*

$$\begin{aligned} D_\alpha(\{P_i||Q_i\}_{i=1}^n) &= \frac{1}{\alpha-1} \ln \left(\int_X \prod_{i=1}^n p_i^{\frac{\alpha}{n}} q_i^{\frac{1-\alpha}{n}} d\mu \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\alpha-1} \ln [D_{(t^\alpha, t^\alpha, \dots, t^\alpha)}(\vec{P}, \vec{Q})]. \end{aligned}$$

The case $\alpha_i = \frac{1}{2}$, for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, gives the *mixed Bhattacharyya coefficient* or *mixed Bhattacharyya distance* of $(\vec{P}, \vec{Q})$,

$$D_{(\sqrt{\cdot}, \sqrt{\cdot}, \dots, \sqrt{\cdot})}(\vec{P}, \vec{Q}) = \int_X \prod_{i=1}^n p_i^{\frac{1}{2n}} q_i^{\frac{1}{2n}} d\mu.$$

This integral is related to the Matuista's affinity (see Introduction). For more information on the corresponding f -divergences we refer to e.g. [18].

III. APPLICATIONS TO CONVEX GEOMETRY.

An important application of the mixed f -divergence arises in the theory of convex bodies. A convex body K in $\mathbb{R}^n$ is a convex, compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$ with non-empty interior. We write $\mathcal{K}_0$ for the set of all convex bodies in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with the origin in the interior. We use $|K|$ to denote the volume of K and $|\partial K|$ to denote the surface area of ∂K , the boundary of K . We write B_2^n for the Euclidean unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and S^{n-1} for the unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^n$. The usual inner product in $\mathbb{R}^n$ is denoted by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$.

For $K \in \mathcal{K}_0$, the *polar body* K° of K is defined by

$$K^\circ = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle x, y \rangle \leq 1, \quad \forall x \in K\}.$$

The *support function* of K , $h_K : S^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$, is $h_K(u) = \max_{x \in K} \langle x, u \rangle$. For $x \in \partial K$, $\kappa_K(x)$ is the (generalized) *Gaussian curvature* at x . Then, for a convex body K of class of C_+^2 , i.e., whose boundary is C^2 with strictly positive Gauss curvature everywhere, the *curvature function* $f_K(u) : S^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by $f_K(u) = \frac{1}{\kappa_K(x)}$, where $x \in \partial K$ is such that the outer normal vector to ∂K at x is u . We refer to [10], [31] for more details on convex bodies.

A. General mixed affine surface areas.

Here, we link the mixed f -divergence with general mixed affine surface areas for convex bodies. We let $X = S^{n-1}$ be the unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and μ be the spherical measure σ . Let $K_1, \dots, K_n \in \mathcal{K}_0$ be convex bodies of class of C_+^2 , and $\xi \in S^{n-1}$. For $1 \leq i \leq n$, let

$$p_{K_i}(\xi) = \frac{1}{n|K_i^\circ| h_{K_i}^n(\xi)}, \quad q_{K_i}(\xi) = \frac{f_{K_i}(\xi) h_{K_i}(\xi)}{n|K_i|},$$

and define probability measures on S^{n-1} by

$$P_{K_i} = p_{K_i} \sigma \quad \text{and} \quad Q_{K_i} = q_{K_i} \sigma.$$

Let $f_i : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, be convex and/or concave functions. Then, we define $D_{\bar{f}}((P_{K_1}, \dots, P_{K_n}), (Q_{K_1}, \dots, Q_{K_n}))$ by

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\bar{f}}((P_{K_1}, \dots, P_{K_n}), (Q_{K_1}, \dots, Q_{K_n})) &= \int_{S^{n-1}} \prod_{i=1}^n \left[f_i \left(\frac{p_{K_i}}{q_{K_i}} \right) q_{K_i} \right]^{\frac{1}{n}} d\sigma \\ &= \int_{S^{n-1}} \prod_{i=1}^n \left[f_i \left(\frac{|K_i| |K_i^\circ|^{-1}}{f_{K_i} h_{K_i}^{n+1}} \right) \frac{f_{K_i} h_{K_i}}{n|K_i|} \right]^{\frac{1}{n}} d\sigma. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{III.4})$$

This expression is closely related to the general mixed L_ϕ (or L_ψ) affine surface areas introduced in [39]. The companion expression

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\bar{f}}((Q_{K_1}, \dots, Q_{K_n}), (P_{K_1}, \dots, P_{K_n})) &= \int_{S^{n-1}} \prod_{i=1}^n \left[f_i \left(\frac{q_{K_i}}{p_{K_i}} \right) p_{K_i} \right]^{\frac{1}{n}} d\sigma \\ &= \int_{S^{n-1}} \prod_{i=1}^n \left[f_i \left(\frac{f_{K_i} h_{K_i}^{n+1}}{|K_i| |K_i^\circ|^{-1}} \right) \frac{|K_i^\circ|^{-1}}{n h_{K_i}^n} \right]^{\frac{1}{n}} d\sigma, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{III.5})$$

is closely related to the general mixed L_ϕ^* (or L_ψ^*) affine surface areas introduced in [39]. One can easily obtain that both formulas (III.4) and (III.5) are affine invariant. For instance, for all linear transform T with the absolute value of its determinant equal to 1, one has $D_{\bar{f}}((P_{TK_1}, \dots, P_{TK_n}), (Q_{TK_1}, \dots, Q_{TK_n}))$ equal to $D_{\bar{f}}((P_{K_1}, \dots, P_{K_n}), (Q_{K_1}, \dots, Q_{K_n}))$.

The “principle for change of order” (Proposition II.2) implies that $D_{\bar{f}}((P_{K_1}, \dots, P_{K_n}), (Q_{K_1}, \dots, Q_{K_n}))$ is identical to $D_{\bar{f}^*}((Q_{K_1}, \dots, Q_{K_n}), (P_{K_1}, \dots, P_{K_n}))$.

When all K_i are centrally symmetric Euclidean balls, i.e., for all $i = 1, \dots, n$, $K_i = r_i B_2^n$ for some $r_i > 0$, then for all $\xi \in S^{n-1}$, $h_{K_i}(\xi) = r_i$, and $f_{K_i}(\xi) = r_i^{n-1}$. This implies that $p_{K_i} = q_{K_i} = \frac{1}{n|B_2^n|}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$, and hence

$$D_{\bar{\mathbf{f}}}((P_{r_1 B_2^n}, \dots, P_{r_n B_2^n}), (Q_{r_1 B_2^n}, \dots, Q_{r_n B_2^n})) = \prod_{i=1}^n [f_i(1)]^{\frac{1}{n}}.$$

The isoperimetric inequality proved in [39] (Theorem 3.2) says that under certain conditions on f_i and K_i ,

$$D_{\bar{\mathbf{f}}}((Q_{K_1}, \dots, Q_{K_n}), (P_{K_1}, \dots, P_{K_n})) \leq \prod_{i=1}^n [f_i(1)]^{1/n},$$

and the maximum is obtained when all K_i are centrally symmetric Euclidean balls. This inequality will be extended to a more general setting for the mixed f -divergence for measures.

B. The f -dissimilarity for multiple convex bodies.

The above connection between general mixed affine surface areas and the mixed f -divergence can be further extended to the $\mathbf{f}$ -dissimilarity for multiple convex bodies. Let $\mathbf{f} : \mathbb{R}^l \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function. We consider the measure space (S^{n-1}, σ) . For a convex body $K \in \mathcal{K}_0$ of class of C_+^2 , P_K is a probability measure associated with K . We denote by p_K the density function of P_K with respect to σ . Likewise, for convex bodies $K_i \in \mathcal{K}_0$, $1 \leq i \leq l$, of class of C_+^2 , we let P_{K_i} be measures associated with K_i whose Radon-Nikodym derivatives with respect to the spherical measure σ are p_{K_i} . Then the $\mathbf{f}$ -dissimilarity of P_{K_i} with reference probability measure P_K is defined as

$$D_{\mathbf{f}}(P_{K_1}, \dots, P_{K_l}; P_K) = \int_{S^{n-1}} \mathbf{f} \left(\frac{p_{K_1}}{p_K}, \dots, \frac{p_{K_l}}{p_K} \right) p_K d\sigma.$$

We will also use the notation $D_{\mathbf{f}}(p_{K_1}, \dots, p_{K_l}; p_K)$ for $D_{\mathbf{f}}(P_{K_1}, \dots, P_{K_l}; P_K)$ and $D_f(p, q)$ for $D_f(P, Q)$.

Aside from the general (mixed) affine surface areas, many other important objects in convex geometry are special cases of the f -dissimilarities. We now give another example. Let $K = B_2^n$, and $P_K = \frac{\sigma}{|\partial B_2^n|}$. Let $K_1 \in \mathcal{K}_0$ be of class of C_+^2 . Then, using the convex function $f(x) = x$, the surface area of ∂K_1 is

$$\frac{|\partial K_1|}{|\partial B_2^n|} = \frac{1}{|\partial B_2^n|} \int_{S^{n-1}} f_{K_1}(u) d\sigma = D_f \left(f_{K_1}, \frac{1}{|\partial B_2^n|} \right).$$

Similarly, using the convex function $f(x) = x^{\frac{n+1}{n}}$, $x \geq 0$, one can also write $|\partial K_1|$ as

$$\frac{|\partial K_1|}{|\partial B_2^n|} = D_f \left([f_{K_1}]^{\frac{n}{n+1}}, \frac{1}{|\partial B_2^n|} \right).$$

By Jensen's inequality (see also inequality (IV.8)), one has

$$\frac{|\partial K_1|}{|\partial B_2^n|} \geq \left(\frac{as(K_1)}{n|B_2^n|} \right)^{\frac{n+1}{n}} = \left(\frac{as(K_1)}{as(B_2^n)} \right)^{\frac{n+1}{n}},$$

which compares the surface area and the affine surface area (e.g. [6], [17], [20], [32])

$$as(K_1) = \int_{S^{n-1}} [f_{K_1}(u)]^{\frac{n}{n+1}} d\sigma.$$

Note that $f(x) = x^{\frac{n+1}{n}}$ is strictly convex. Thus equality holds in the above inequality if and only if $f_{K_1}(u) \equiv C$, with $C > 0$ a constant, which happens if and only if K_1 is a Euclidean ball.

IV. INEQUALITIES.

The classical Alexandrov-Fenchel inequality for mixed volumes of convex bodies is a fundamental result in (convex) geometry. A general version of this inequality for *mixed volumes* of convex bodies $K_1, \dots, K_n$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$ (see [1], [7], [31]) can be written as, for all integer m s.t. $1 \leq m \leq n$

$$\prod_{i=0}^{m-1} V(K_1, \dots, K_{n-m}, \underbrace{K_{n-i}, \dots, K_{n-i}}_m) \leq V^m(K_1, \dots, K_n).$$

We refer to e.g. [31] for the definition of the mixed volume $V(K_1, \dots, K_n)$ of the bodies $K_1, \dots, K_n$.

Alexandrov-Fenchel type inequality for the (mixed) affine surface areas can be found in [19], [20], [38], [39]. Now we prove an Alexandrov-Fenchel type inequality for the mixed f -divergence for measures.

Following [13], we say that two functions f and g are *effectively proportional* if there are constants a and b , not both zero, such that $af = bg$. Functions $f_1, \dots, f_m$ are effectively proportional if every pair (f_i, f_j) , $1 \leq i, j \leq m$ is effectively proportional. A null function is effectively proportional to any function. These notions will be used in the next theorems.

For a measure space (X, μ) and probability densities p_i and q_i , $1 \leq i \leq n$, we put

$$g_0(u) = \prod_{i=1}^{n-m} \left[f_i \left(\frac{p_i}{q_i} \right) q_i \right]^{\frac{1}{n}}, \quad (\text{IV.6})$$

and for $j = 0, \dots, m-1$,

$$g_{j+1}(u) = \left[f_{n-j} \left(\frac{p_{n-j}}{q_{n-j}} \right) q_{n-j} \right]^{\frac{1}{n}}. \quad (\text{IV.7})$$

For a vector $\vec{p}$, we denote by $\vec{p}^{n,k}$ the following vector

$$\vec{p}^{n,k} = (p_1, \dots, p_{n-m}, \underbrace{p_k, \dots, p_k}_m), \quad k > n-m.$$

Theorem IV.1. *Let (X, μ) be a finite measure space. For $1 \leq i \leq n$, let P_i and Q_i be probability measures on (X, μ) with density functions p_i and q_i respectively almost everywhere w.r.t. μ . Let $f_i : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, be convex functions. Then, for $1 \leq m \leq n$,*

$$[D_{\vec{f}}(\vec{P}, \vec{Q})]^m \leq \prod_{k=n-m+1}^n D_{\vec{f}^{n,k}}(\vec{P}^{n,k}, \vec{Q}^{n,k}).$$

Equality holds if and only if one of the functions $g_0^{\frac{1}{n}} g_i$, $1 \leq i \leq m$, is null or all are effectively proportional μ -a.e. If $m = n$,

$$[D_{\vec{f}}(\vec{P}, \vec{Q})]^n \leq \prod_{i=1}^n D_{f_i}(P_i, Q_i),$$

with equality if and only if one of the functions $f_j \left(\frac{p_j}{q_j} \right) q_j$, $0 \leq j \leq n$, is null or all are effectively proportional μ -a.e.

Remarks. (i) In particular, equality holds in Theorem IV.1 if all (P_i, Q_i) coincide, and $f_i = \lambda_i f$ for some convex positive function f and $\lambda_i \geq 0$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

(ii) Theorem IV.1 still holds true if the functions f_i are concave.

Proof of Theorem IV.1: We let g_0 and g_{j+1} , $j = 0, \dots, m-1$ as in (IV.6) and (IV.7). By Hölder's inequality (see [13])

$$\begin{aligned} [D_{\vec{f}}(\vec{P}, \vec{Q})]^m &= \left(\int_X g_0(u) g_1(u) \cdots g_m(u) d\mu \right)^m \\ &= \left(\int_X \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} [g_0(u) g_{j+1}(u)]^{\frac{1}{m}} d\mu \right)^m \\ &\leq \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} \left(\int_X g_0(u) g_{j+1}^m(u) d\mu \right) \\ &= \prod_{k=n-m+1}^n D_{\vec{f}^{n,k}}(\vec{P}^{n,k}, \vec{Q}^{n,k}). \end{aligned}$$

By e.g. [13], equality holds in Hölder's inequality, if and only if one of the functions $g_0^{\frac{1}{m}} g_i$, $1 \leq i \leq m$, is null or all are effectively proportional μ -a.e..

In particular, this is the case, if for all $i = 1, \dots, n$, $(P_i, Q_i) = (P, Q)$ and $f_i = \lambda_i f$ for some convex function f and $\lambda_i \geq 0$. ■

Let $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be a convex function. By Jensen's inequality,

$$D_f(P, Q) = \int_X f\left(\frac{p}{q}\right) q d\mu \geq f\left(\int_X p d\mu\right) = f(1), \quad (\text{IV.8})$$

for all pairs of probability measures (P, Q) on (X, μ) with nonzero density functions p and q respectively almost everywhere w.r.t. μ . When f is linear, equality holds trivially in (IV.8). When f is strictly convex, equality holds true if and only if $p = q$ almost everywhere with respect to the measure μ . If f is a concave function, again by Jensen's inequality,

$$D_f(P, Q) = \int_X f\left(\frac{p}{q}\right) q d\mu \leq f\left(\int_X p d\mu\right) = f(1), \quad (\text{IV.9})$$

for all pairs of probability measures (P, Q) . Again, when f is linear, equality holds trivially. When f is strictly concave, equality holds true if and only if $p = q$ almost everywhere with respect to the measure μ .

For the mixed f -divergence with concave functions, one has the following result.

Theorem IV.2. *Let (X, μ) be a finite measure space. For all $1 \leq i \leq n$, let P_i and Q_i be probability measures on X whose density functions p_i and q_i are nonzero almost everywhere w.r.t. μ . Let $f_i : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, be concave functions. Then*

$$[D_{\vec{f}}(\vec{P}, \vec{Q})]^n \leq \prod_{i=1}^n D_{f_i}(P_i, Q_i) \leq \prod_{i=1}^n f_i(1). \quad (\text{IV.10})$$

If in addition, all f_i are strictly concave, equality holds if and only if there is a probability density p such that

$$p_i = q_i = p, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

almost everywhere with respect to the measure μ .

Proof: Theorem IV.1 and the remark after imply that for all concave functions f_i ,

$$[D_{\vec{f}}(\vec{P}, \vec{Q})]^n \leq \prod_{i=1}^n D_{f_i}(P_i, Q_i) \leq \prod_{i=1}^n f_i(1),$$

where the second inequality follows from inequality (IV.9) and $f_i \geq 0$.

Suppose now that for all i , $p_i = q_i = p$, μ -a.e., where p is a fixed probability density. Then equality holds trivially in (IV.10).

Conversely, suppose that equality holds in (IV.10). Then, in particular, equality holds in Jensen's inequality which, as noted above, happens if and only if $p_i = q_i$ for all i . Thus,

$$D_{\vec{f}}(\vec{P}, \vec{Q}) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^n [f_i(1)]^{1/n} \right) \int_X q_1^{1/n} \dots q_n^{1/n} d\mu.$$

Note also that if all $f_i : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ are strictly concave, $f_i(1) \neq 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Equality characterization in Hölder's inequality implies that all q_i are effectively proportional μ -a.e. As all q_i are probability measures, they are all equal (almost everywhere w.r.t. μ) to a probability measure with density function (say) p . ■

Remark. If $f_i(t) = a_i t + b_i$ are all linear and positive, then equality holds if and only if all p_i, q_i are equal (almost everywhere w.r.t. μ) as convex combinations, i.e., if and only if for all i, j

$$\frac{a_i}{a_i + b_i} p_i + \frac{b_i}{a_i + b_i} q_i = \frac{a_j}{a_j + b_j} p_j + \frac{b_j}{a_j + b_j} q_j, \quad \mu - \text{a.e.}$$

V. THE i -TH MIXED f -DIVERGENCE.

Let (X, μ) be a finite measure space. Throughout this section, we assume that the functions

$$f_1, f_2 : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x > 0\},$$

are convex or concave, and that P_1, P_2, Q_1, Q_2 are probability measures on X with density functions p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2 which are nonzero almost everywhere w.r.t. the measure μ . We also write

$$\vec{f} = (f_1, f_2), \quad \vec{P} = (P_1, P_2), \quad \vec{Q} = (Q_1, Q_2).$$

Definition V.1. Let $i \in \mathbb{R}$. The i -th mixed f -divergence for $(\vec{f}, \vec{P}, \vec{Q})$, denoted by $D_{\vec{f}}(\vec{P}, \vec{Q}; i)$, is defined as

$$D_{\vec{f}}(\vec{P}, \vec{Q}; i) = \int_X \left[f_1 \left(\frac{p_1}{q_1} \right) q_1 \right]^{\frac{i}{n}} \left[f_2 \left(\frac{p_2}{q_2} \right) q_2 \right]^{\frac{n-i}{n}} d\mu. \quad (\text{V.11})$$

Remarks. Note that the i -th mixed f -divergence is defined for any combination of convexity and concavity of f_1 and f_2 , namely, both f_1 and f_2 concave, or both f_1 and f_2 convex, or one is convex the other is concave.

It is easily checked that

$$D_{\vec{f}}(\vec{P}, \vec{Q}; i) = D_{(f_2, f_1)}((P_2, Q_2), (P_1, Q_1); n - i).$$

If $0 \leq i \leq n$ is an integer, then the triple (f_1, P_1, Q_1) appears i -times while the triple (f_2, P_2, Q_2) appears $(n - i)$ times in $D_{\vec{f}}(\vec{P}, \vec{Q}; i)$.

For $i = 0$,

$$D_{\vec{f}}(\vec{P}, \vec{Q}; i) = D_{f_2}(P_2, Q_2),$$

and for $i = n$,

$$D_{\vec{f}}(\vec{P}, \vec{Q}; i) = D_{f_1}(P_1, Q_1).$$

Another special case is when $P_2 = Q_2 = \mu$ almost everywhere and μ is also a probability measure. Then such an i -th mixed f -divergence, denoted by $D((f_1, P_1, Q_1), i; f_2)$, has the form

$$D((f_1, P_1, Q_1), i; f_2) = [f_2(1)]^{1-i/n} \int_X \left[f_1 \left(\frac{p_1}{q_1} \right) q_1 \right]^{\frac{i}{n}} d\mu.$$

Examples and Applications.

(i) For $f(t) = |t - 1|$, we get the i -th mixed total variation

$$D_{(f,f)}(\vec{P}, \vec{Q}; i) = \int_X |p_1 - q_1|^{\frac{i}{n}} |p_2 - q_2|^{\frac{n-i}{n}} d\mu.$$

(ii) For $f_1(t) = f_2(t) = [t \ln t]_+$, we get the (modified) i -th mixed relative entropy or i -th mixed Kullback Leibler divergence

$$D_{KL}(\vec{P}, \vec{Q}; i) = \int_X \left[p_1 \ln \left(\frac{p_1}{q_1} \right) \right]_+^{\frac{i}{n}} \left[p_2 \ln \left(\frac{p_2}{q_2} \right) \right]_+^{\frac{n-i}{n}} d\mu.$$

(iii) For the convex or concave functions $f_{\alpha_j}(t) = t^{\alpha_j}$, $j = 1, 2$, we get the i -th mixed Hellinger integrals

$$D_{(f_{\alpha_1}, f_{\alpha_2})}(\vec{P}, \vec{Q}; i) = \int_X (p_1^{\alpha_1} q_1^{1-\alpha_1})^{\frac{i}{n}} (p_2^{\alpha_2} q_2^{1-\alpha_2})^{\frac{n-i}{n}} d\mu.$$

In particular, for $\alpha_j = \alpha$, for $j = 1, 2$,

$$D_{(f_{\alpha}, f_{\alpha})}(\vec{P}, \vec{Q}; i) = \int_X (p_1^{\alpha} q_1^{1-\alpha})^{\frac{i}{n}} (p_2^{\alpha} q_2^{1-\alpha})^{\frac{n-i}{n}} d\mu.$$

This integral can be used to define the i -th mixed α -Rényi divergence

$$D_{\alpha}(\vec{P}, \vec{Q}; i) = \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \ln \left[D_{(f_{\alpha}, f_{\alpha})}(\vec{P}, \vec{Q}; i) \right].$$

The case $\alpha_i = \frac{1}{2}$ for all i gives

$$D_{(\sqrt{\cdot}, \sqrt{\cdot})}(\vec{P}, \vec{Q}; i) = \int_X (p_1 q_1)^{\frac{i}{2n}} (p_2 q_2)^{\frac{n-i}{2n}} d\mu,$$

the i -th mixed Bhattacharyya coefficient or i -th mixed Bhattacharyya distance of the p_i and q_i .

(iv) Important applications are again in the theory of convex bodies. As in section 2, let $K_1, K_2 \in \mathcal{K}_0$ be convex bodies with positive curvature function. For $l = 1, 2$, let

$$p_{K_l}(\xi) = \frac{1}{n |K_l^\circ| h_{K_l}^n(\xi)}, \quad q_{K_l}(\xi) = \frac{f_{K_l}(\xi) h_{K_l}(\xi)}{n |K_l|},$$

and define probability measures on S^{n-1} by

$$P_{K_l} = p_{K_l} \sigma \quad \text{and} \quad Q_{K_l} = q_{K_l} \sigma.$$

Let $f_l : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $l = 1, 2$, be positive convex functions. Then, we define the i -th mixed f -divergence for the convex bodies K_1 and K_2 by

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\bar{f}}((P_{K_1}, P_{K_2}), (Q_{K_1}, Q_{K_2}); i) \\ = \int_{S^{n-1}} \left[f_1 \left(\frac{|K_1^\circ|^{-1} |K_1|}{f_{K_1} h_{K_1}^{n+1}} \right) \frac{f_{K_1} h_{K_1}}{n |K_1|} \right]^{\frac{i}{n}} \\ \times \left[f_2 \left(\frac{|K_2^\circ|^{-1} |K_2|}{f_{K_2} h_{K_2}^{n+1}} \right) \frac{f_{K_2} h_{K_2}}{n |K_2|} \right]^{\frac{n-i}{n}} d\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

This expression is closely related to the general i -th mixed L_ϕ (or L_ψ) affine surface areas introduced in [39].

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\bar{f}}((Q_{K_1}, Q_{K_2}), (P_{K_1}, P_{K_2}); i) \\ = \int_{S^{n-1}} \left[f_1 \left(\frac{f_{K_1} h_{K_1}^{n+1}}{|K_1^\circ|^{-1} |K_1|} \right) \frac{1}{n |K_1^\circ| h_{K_1}^n} \right]^{\frac{i}{n}} \\ \times \left[f_2 \left(\frac{f_{K_2} h_{K_2}^{n+1}}{|K_2^\circ|^{-1} |K_2|} \right) \frac{1}{n |K_2^\circ| h_{K_2}^n} \right]^{\frac{n-i}{n}} d\sigma, \end{aligned}$$

which is closely related to the general i th mixed L_ϕ^* (or L_ψ^*) affine surface areas introduced in [39].

The following result holds for all possible combinations of convexity and concavity of f_1 and f_2 .

Proposition V.1. *Let $\bar{f}, \vec{P}, \vec{Q}$ be as above. If $j \leq i \leq k$ or $k \leq i \leq j$, then*

$$D_{\bar{f}}(\vec{P}, \vec{Q}; i) \leq \left[D_{\bar{f}}(\vec{P}, \vec{Q}; j) \right]^{\frac{k-i}{k-j}} \times \left[D_{\bar{f}}(\vec{P}, \vec{Q}; k) \right]^{\frac{i-j}{k-j}}.$$

Equality holds trivially if $i = k$ or $i = j$. Otherwise, equality holds if and only if one of the functions $f_i \left(\frac{p_i}{q_i} \right) q_i$, $i = 1, 2$, is null, or $f_1 \left(\frac{p_1}{q_1} \right) q_1$ and $f_2 \left(\frac{p_2}{q_2} \right) q_2$ are effectively proportional μ -a.e. In particular, this holds if $(P_1, Q_1) = (P_2, Q_2)$ and $f_1 = l f_2$ for some $l > 0$.

Proof: By formula (V.11), one has

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\bar{f}}(\vec{P}, \vec{Q}; i) &= \int_X \left[f_1 \left(\frac{p_1}{q_1} \right) q_1 \right]^{\frac{i}{n}} \left[f_2 \left(\frac{p_2}{q_2} \right) q_2 \right]^{\frac{n-i}{n}} d\mu \\ &= \int_X \left\{ \left[f_1 \left(\frac{p_1}{q_1} \right) q_1 \right]^{\frac{j}{n}} \left[f_2 \left(\frac{p_2}{q_2} \right) q_2 \right]^{\frac{n-j}{n}} \right\}^{\frac{k-i}{k-j}} \\ &\quad \times \left\{ \left[f_1 \left(\frac{p_1}{q_1} \right) q_1 \right]^{\frac{k}{n}} \left[f_2 \left(\frac{p_2}{q_2} \right) q_2 \right]^{\frac{n-k}{n}} \right\}^{\frac{i-j}{k-j}} d\mu \\ &\leq \left[D_{\bar{f}}(\vec{P}, \vec{Q}; j) \right]^{\frac{k-i}{k-j}} \times \left[D_{\bar{f}}(\vec{P}, \vec{Q}; k) \right]^{\frac{i-j}{k-j}}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from Hölder's inequality and formula (V.11). The equality characterization follows from the one in Hölder inequality. In particular, if $(P_1, Q_1) = (P_2, Q_2)$, and $f_1 = l f_2$ for some $l > 0$, equality holds. ■

Corollary V.1. Let f_1 and f_2 be positive, concave functions on $(0, \infty)$. Then for all $\vec{P}, \vec{Q}$ and for all $0 \leq i \leq n$,

$$[D_{\vec{f}}(\vec{P}, \vec{Q}; i)]^n \leq [f_1(1)]^i [f_2(1)]^{n-i}.$$

If in addition, f_1 and f_2 are strictly concave, equality holds if and only if $p_1 = p_2 = q_1 = q_2$ μ -a.e.

Proof: Let $j = 0$ and $k = n$ in Proposition V.1. Then for all $0 \leq i \leq n$,

$$\begin{aligned} [D_{\vec{f}}(\vec{P}, \vec{Q}; i)]^n &\leq [D_{f_1}(P_1, Q_1)]^i [D_{f_2}(P_2, Q_2)]^{n-i} \\ &\leq [f_1(1)]^i [f_2(1)]^{n-i}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from inequality (IV.9).

To have equality, the above inequalities should be equalities. By Proposition V.1, one has then that $f_1\left(\frac{p_1}{q_1}\right)q_1$ and $f_2\left(\frac{p_2}{q_2}\right)q_2$ are effectively proportional μ -a.e. As both, f_1 and f_2 , are strictly concave, Jensen's inequality requires that $p_1 = q_1$ and $p_2 = q_2$ μ -a.e. Therefore, equality holds if and only if $f_1(1)q_1$ and $f_2(1)q_2$ are effectively proportional μ -a.e. As both, $f_1(1)$ and $f_2(1)$, are not zero, equality holds iff $p_1 = p_2 = q_1 = q_2$ μ -a.e. ■

Remark. If $f_1(t) = a_1t + b_1$ and $f_2(t) = a_2t + b_2$ are both linear, equality holds in Corollary V.1 if and only if p_i, q_i , $i = 1, 2$, are equal as convex combinations, i.e., if and only if

$$\frac{a_1}{a_1 + b_1}p_1 + \frac{b_1}{a_1 + b_1}q_1 = \frac{a_2}{a_2 + b_2}p_2 + \frac{b_2}{a_2 + b_2}q_2, \quad \mu - \text{a.e.}$$

This proof can be used to establish the following result for $D((f_1, P_1, Q_1), i; f_2)$.

Corollary V.2. Let (X, μ) be a probability space. Let f_1 be a positive concave function on $(0, \infty)$. Then for all P_1, Q_1 , for all (concave or convex) positive functions f_2 , and for all $0 \leq i \leq n$,

$$[D((f_1, P_1, Q_1), i; f_2)]^n \leq [f_1(1)]^i [f_2(1)]^{n-i}.$$

If f_1 is strictly concave, equality holds if and only if $P_1 = Q_1 = \mu$. When $f_1(t) = at + b$ is linear, equality holds if and only if $ap_1 + bq_1 = a + b$ μ -a.e.

Corollary V.3. Let f_1 be a positive convex function and f_2 be a positive concave function on $(0, \infty)$. Then, for all $\vec{P}, \vec{Q}$, and for all $k \geq n$,

$$[D_{\vec{f}}(\vec{P}, \vec{Q}; k)]^n \geq [f_1(1)]^k [f_2(1)]^{n-k}.$$

If in addition, f_1 is strictly convex and f_2 is strictly concave, equality holds if and only if $p_1 = p_2 = q_1 = q_2$ μ -a.e.

Proof: On the right hand side of Proposition V.1, let $i = n$ and $j = 0$. Let $k \geq n$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} [D_{\vec{f}}(\vec{P}, \vec{Q}; k)]^n &\geq [D_{f_1}(P_1, Q_1)]^k [D_{f_2}(P_2, Q_2)]^{n-k} \\ &\geq [f_1(1)]^k [f_2(1)]^{n-k}. \end{aligned}$$

Here, the last inequality follows from inequalities (IV.8), (IV.9) and $k \geq n$. To have equality, the above inequalities should be equalities. By Proposition V.1, one has then that $f_1\left(\frac{p_1}{q_1}\right)q_1$ and $f_2\left(\frac{p_2}{q_2}\right)q_2$ are effectively proportional μ -a.e. As f_1 is strictly convex and f_2 is strictly concave, Jensen's inequality implies that $p_1 = q_1$ and $p_2 = q_2$ μ -a.e. Therefore, as both $f_1(1)$ and $f_2(1)$ are not zero, equality holds if and only if $p_1 = p_2 = q_1 = q_2$ μ -a.e. ■

Remark. If $f_1(t) = a_1t + b_1$ and $f_2(t) = a_2t + b_2$ are both linear, equality holds in Corollary V.3 if and only if $p_i, q_i, i = 1, 2$, are equal μ -a.e. as convex combinations, i.e., if and only if

$$\frac{a_1}{a_1 + b_1}p_1 + \frac{b_1}{a_1 + b_1}q_1 = \frac{a_2}{a_2 + b_2}p_2 + \frac{b_2}{a_2 + b_2}q_2, \quad \mu - \text{a.e.}$$

This proof can be used to establish the following results for $D((f_1, P_1, Q_1), k; f_2)$.

Corollary V.4. Let (X, μ) be a probability space. Let f_1 be a positive convex function on $(0, \infty)$. Then for all P_1, Q_1 , for all (positive concave or convex) functions f_2 , and for all $k \geq n$,

$$[D((f_1, P_1, Q_1), k; f_2)]^n \geq [f_1(1)]^k [f_2(1)]^{n-k}.$$

If f_1 is strictly convex, equality holds if and only if $P_1 = Q_1 = \mu$. When $f_1(t) = at + b$ is linear, equality holds if and only if $ap_1 + bq_1 = a + b$ μ -a.e.

Corollary V.5. Let f_1 be a positive concave function and f_2 be a positive convex function on $(0, \infty)$. Then for all $\vec{P}, \vec{Q}$, and for all $k \leq 0$,

$$[D_{\vec{f}}(\vec{P}, \vec{Q}; k)]^n \geq [f_1(1)]^k [f_2(1)]^{n-k}.$$

If in addition, f_1 is strictly concave and f_2 is strictly convex, equality holds iff $p_1 = p_2 = q_1 = q_2$ μ -a.e.

Proof: Let $i = 0$ and $j = n$ in Proposition V.1. Then

$$\begin{aligned} [D_{\vec{f}}(\vec{P}, \vec{Q}; k)]^n &\geq [D_{f_1}(P_1, Q_1)]^k [D_{f_2}(P_2, Q_2)]^{n-k} \\ &\geq [f_1(1)]^k [f_2(1)]^{n-k}. \end{aligned}$$

Here, the last inequality follows from inequalities (IV.8), (IV.9), and $k \leq 0$.

To have equality, the above inequalities should be equalities. By Proposition V.1, one has then that $f_1\left(\frac{p_1}{q_1}\right)q_1$ and $f_2\left(\frac{p_2}{q_2}\right)q_2$ are effectively proportional μ -a.e. As f_1 is strictly concave and f_2 is strictly convex, Jensen's inequality requires that $p_1 = q_1$ and $p_2 = q_2$. Therefore, equality holds if and only if $f_1(1)q_1$ and $f_2(1)q_2$ are effectively proportional μ -a.e. As both $f_1(1)$ and $f_2(1)$ are not zero, equality holds if and only if $p_1 = p_2 = q_1 = q_2$ μ -a.e. ■

This proof can be used to establish the following results for $D((f_1, P_1, Q_1), k; f_2)$.

Corollary V.6. Let f_1 be a concave function on $(0, \infty)$. Then for all P_1, Q_1 , for all (concave or convex) functions f_2 , and for all $k \leq 0$,

$$[D((f_1, P_1, Q_1), k; f_2)]^n \geq [f_1(1)]^k [f_2(1)]^{n-k}.$$

If f_1 is strictly concave, equality holds if and only if $P_1 = Q_1 = \mu$. When $f_1(t) = at + b$ is linear, equality holds if and only if $ap_1 + bq_1 = a + b$ μ -a.e.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The research of Elisabeth Werner is partially supported by an NSF grant. The research of Deping Ye is supported by an NSERC grant and a start-up grant from Memorial University of Newfoundland.

REFERENCES

- [1] A.D. Aleksandrov, *On the theory of mixed volumes of convex bodies. II. New inequalities between mixed volumes and their applications*, Mat. Sb. (N. S.) 2 (1937) 1205-1238. [Russian]
- [2] M.S. Ali and D. Silvey, *A general class of coefficients of divergence of one distribution from another*, J. R. Stat. Soc. B, 28 (1966) 131-142.
- [3] A. R. Barron, L. Györfi and E.C. van der Meulen, *Distribution estimates consistent in total variation and two types of information divergence*, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, 38 (1990) 1437-1454.
- [4] M. Basseville, *Divergence measures for statistical data processing*, Technical Report PI 1961, IRISA, November 2010. URL <http://hal.inria.fr/inria-00542337/fr/>.
- [5] A. Bhattacharyya, *On some analogues to the amount of information and their uses in statistical estimation*, Sankhya, 8 (1946) 1-14.
- [6] W. Blaschke, *Vorlesungen über Differentialgeometrie II, Affine Differentialgeometrie*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1923.
- [7] H. Busemann, *Convex surface*, Interscience Tracts in Pure and Appl. Math., No. 6, Interscience, New York, 1958. MR 21 #3900.
- [8] T. Cover and J. Thomas, *Elements of information theory*, second ed., Wiley-Interscience, (John Wiley and Sons), Hoboken, NJ, 2006.
- [9] I. Csiszár, *Eine informationstheoretische Ungleichung und ihre Anwendung auf den Beweis der Ergodizität von Markoffschen Ketten*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hungar. Acad. Sci. ser. A, 8 (1963) 84-108.
- [10] R.J. Gardner, *Geometric Tomography*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1995.
- [11] L. Györfi and T. Nemetz, *f-dissimilarity: A general class of separation measures of several probability measures*, In I. Csiszár and P. Elias, editors, *Topics in Information Theory*, volume 16 of *Colloquia Mathematica Societatis János Bolyai*, pages 309-321. North-Holland, 1975.
- [12] L. Györfi and T. Nemetz, *f-dissimilarity: A generalization of the affinity of several distributions*, Ann. Inst. Statist. Math., 30 (1978) 105-113.
- [13] G.H. Hardy, J.E. Littlewood and G. Pólya, *Inequalities*, 2nd ed., Cambridge Univ. Press, 1952.
- [14] P. Harremoës and F. Topsøe, *Inequalities between entropy and the index of coincidence derived from information diagrams*, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, 47 (2001) 2944-2960.
- [15] J. Jenkinson and E. Werner, *Relative entropies for convex bodies*, to appear in *Transactions of the AMS*.
- [16] S. Kullback and R. Leibler, *On information and sufficiency*, Ann. Math. Statist., 22 (1951) 79-86.
- [17] K. Leichtweiss, *Affine Geometry of Convex bodies*, Johann Ambrosius Barth Verlag, Heidelberg, 1998.
- [18] F. Liese and I. Vajda, *On Divergences and Information in Statistics and Information Theory*, IEEE Transactions on Information Theory, 52 (2006) 4394-4412.
- [19] E. Lutwak, *Mixed affine surface area*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 125 (1987) 351-360.
- [20] E. Lutwak, *The Brunn-Minkowski-Firey theory. II. affine and geominimal surface areas*, Adv. Math. 118 (2) (1996) 244-294.
- [21] E. Lutwak, D. Yang and G. Zhang, *The Cramer-Rao inequality for star bodies*, Duke Math. J., 112 (2002) 59-81.
- [22] E. Lutwak, D. Yang and G. Zhang, *Moment-entropy inequalities*, Ann. Probab., 32 (2004) 757-774.
- [23] E. Lutwak, D. Yang and G. Zhang, *Cramer-Rao and moment-entropy inequalities for Renyi entropy and generalized Fisher information*, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory 51 (2005) 473-478.
- [24] K. Matusita, *On the notion of affinity of several distributions and some of its applications*, Ann. Inst. Statist. Math., 19 (1967) 181-192.
- [25] K. Matusita, *Some properties of affinity and applications*, Ann. Inst. Statist. Math., 23 (1971) 137-155.
- [26] M.L. Menéndez, J.A. Pardo, L. Pardo and K. Zografos, *A preliminary test in classification and probabilities of misclassification*, Statistics, 39 (2005) 183-205.

- [27] D. Morales, L. Pardo and K. Zografos, *Informational distances and related statistics in mixed continuous and categorical variables*, J. Statist. Plann. Inference, 75 (1998) 47-63.
- [28] T. Morimoto, *Markov processes and the H-theorem*, J. Phys. Soc. Jap., 18 (1963) 328-331.
- [29] F. Österreicher and I. Vajda, *A new class of metric divergences on probability spaces and its applicability in statistics*, Ann. Inst. Statist. Math., 55 (2003) 639-653.
- [30] G. Paouris and E. Werner, *Relative entropy of cone measures and L_p centroid bodies*, Proc. London Math. Soc., to appear. doi: 10.1112/plms/pdr030
- [31] R. Schneider, *Convex Bodies: The Brunn-Minkowski theory*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1993.
- [32] C. Schütt and E. Werner, *The convex floating body*, Math. Scand. 66 (1990) 275–290.
- [33] A. Sgarro, *Informational divergence and the dissimilarity of probability distributions*, Calcolo, 18 (1981) 293-302.
- [34] R. Sibson, *Information radius*, Probab. Theory Related Fields, 14 (1969) 149-160.
- [35] G.T. Toussaint, *Some properties of Matusita's measure of affinity of several distributions*, Ann. Inst. Statist. Math., 26 (1974) 389-394.
- [36] E. Werner, *Rényi Divergence and L_p -affine surface area for convex bodies*, Adv. Math., 230 (2012) 1040-1059.
- [37] E. Werner, *f -Divergence for convex bodies*, to appear in Asymptotic Geometric Analysis: Proceedings of the Fall 2010 Fields Institute Thematic Program. arXiv: 1205.3423.
- [38] E. Werner and D. Ye, *Inequalities for mixed p -affine surface area*, Math. Ann., 347 (3) (2010) 703-737.
- [39] D. Ye, *Inequalities for general mixed affine surface areas*, J. London Math. Soc., 85 (2012) 101-120.
- [40] K. Zografos, *f -dissimilarity of several distributions in testing statistical hypotheses*, Ann. Inst. Statist. Math., 50 (1998) 295-310.